IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 13357 of 2004

Between:

Jetla Venkayamma, W/o. Late Chinnakama Raju,
R/o. Nellipudi (V), Gangavaram Mandal, E.G. District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Station House Officer, Gangavaram P.S. Gangavaram

Mandal, E.G. District.
2 Jetla Kona, S/o. Bapi Raju,
R/o. Nellipudi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, E.G. District.
3 Jetla Chandra Rao, S/o. Bapi Raju,
R/o. Nellipudi Village, Gangavaram Mandal,
E.G. District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not providing protection to the lands of the petitioner situated in Nellipudi (V), Gangavaram (M) E.G. District. in pursuance of the complaint/representation dt. 25-6-2004 made to the 1st respondent as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to law and consequently direct the 1st respondent to take action on the complaint/representation made by the petitioner dt. 25-6-2004 and to pass such other orders or order as the Court may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.VENKATESH

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following O R D E R:

The petitioner states that he is the absolute owner and possessor of small extents of lands situated in Sy.Nos.263/1, 250/1, 104/1 and 104/2 of Nellipudi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari District which is notified as a scheduled area. It is further stated that since respondents 2 and 3 are interfering with his possession, he filed a civil suit and ex parte injunction has been granted in his favour. It is alleged that in spite of the order of injunction passed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, the respondents 2 and 3 have been trespassing into his land and obstructing the agricultural operations. In the circumstances, he made a representation before the Station House Officer, Gangavaram Police Station on 25-06-004 seeking protection. His grievance is that so far his request has not been considered by the first respondent. Hence, this writ petition.

I have heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for the first respondent.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the first respondent to consider the complaint dated 25-06-2004 filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

30th July, 2004.

Vrn

To

1. The Station House Officer, Gangavaram P.S., Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari District.

2. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT).

3. Two CD copies.